

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/692,423 | 10/23/2003 | Feng Wu Wen | EWMRI-001B | 8370 |
| 7590 12/10/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| MATTHEW A. NEWBOLES STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER Suite 250 75 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 | | | LILLING, HERBERT J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1651 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/692,423 Examiner | WEN, FENG WU Art Unit | | | | |
| · | HERBERT J LILLING | 1651 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | <u></u> l | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No | ovember 2004. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-32,34 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-17 and 33</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 18-32,34 and 35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Triple the bath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form P10-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 222 2.2 and and and anies deficit for a not of the defined depict not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-05-2004</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/692,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the restriction response filed November 23, 2004.

- 2. Claims 1-35 are pending in this application.
- Applicant has elected without traverse, Group I, claims 1-17 and 33.
 Claims 18-32 and 34-35 have been withdrawn from consideration.

The restriction requirement has been made **Final**.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The specification is also objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention and failing to adequately teach how to make and/or use the invention, i.e. failing to provide an enabling disclosure, because the trademark which is used without specific sufficiently descriptive generic terminology. The

Application/Control Number: 10/692,423

meaning of these trademarks does not appear to be well known and satisfactorily defined in the literature and are not accompanied by a definition which is sufficiently precise and definite.

The trademarks should be accompanied by the descriptive generic terminology at some point in the specification, i.e. for example, should be described in terms of the physical and chemical composition of the matrix, the significance of the designation, and any other critical and/or desirable identifying characteristics required for one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use applicants' invention both in the present and in the future though the product denoted by the same trademark names may at some time may change with regard to these characteristics.

Amendments to the specification which specify the descriptive terminology should be restricted to characteristics of the product known at the time the application was filed and should include published product information sufficient to show that the generic description is inherent in the article referred to by trademark and therefore not "new matter". These description requirements are made because the nature and composition of articles denoted by trademarks can change and affect the future adequacy of the disclosure. See MPEP 608.01(v).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention since the claim 12 is indefinite because it contains the use of the trademark, absent sufficiently descriptive generic terminology for the reasons discussed above. Such trademarks, absent generic terminology, should not be used in the claims. Although trademarks accompanied by generic terminology may be used in the claims, the use of the generic terminology alone is preferred since redundancy and the use of parentheticals in the claims should be avoided.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,423

Art Unit: 1651

5. Claims 1-11, 13-17 and 33 are allowed. The reference do not anticipate as well as the references alone or in view of each other do not suggest or motivate one to prepare the extract by the series of process steps.

6. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

It is noted that in claim 1, line 3, there appears to be an error with respect

Page 4

to "concerntrations". Please correct.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918** and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>1651</u>

December 07, 2004

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651